

Table 7. Studies on Informal coercion (n=1 SR in Aragonés-Calleja, 2022)

Author, year	Participants	Setting/context	Interventions	N. included studies	Type of included studies	Analysis	Country of origin of included studies	Results/ Findings	Risk of Bias
Hotzy, 2016	Mental health professionals and psychiatric patients. The sample sizes varied between 24 and 1,011 participants.	- Professionals: outpatient services, ACT, housing institutions. - Most patients: outpatient setting.	Informal Coercion.	N=21 publications including 13 studies	All studies included were cross sectional.	Qualitative analysis.	Mainly USA, UK and some European countries.	Studies show that both professionals and patients report positive views on informal coercion when ethical guidelines are followed, but negative consequences like stigma and relationship impairment may occur.	Risk unclear