

Tracheostomy on the intensive care unit for adult patients

B.G. Fikkers¹, in collaboration with the committee “Guidelines Tracheostomy” of the NVIC²

¹ B.G. Fikkers, Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre
b.fikkers@ic.umcn.nl

² P. Breedveld, azM, Maastricht
ph.breedveld@mumc.nl

H. Delwig, UMCG, Groningen
h.delwig@umcg.nl

D. Dongelmans, AMC, Amsterdam
d.a.dongelmans@amc.nl

J.G. van der Hoeven, Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre
j.vanderhoeven@ic.umcn.nl

J.J. Spijkstra, VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam
jj.spijkstra@vumc.nl

D.P. Veelo, AMC, Amsterdam
d.p.veelo@amc.nl

R.J. de Wit, Medisch Spectrum Twente, Enschede
r.dewit@mst.nl

Conflict of interest: None

Financial support: None

Samenvatting

De percutane tracheostomie heeft de chirurgische tracheostomie verdrongen als techniek voor volwassen intensive care patiënten. Het is lastig precieze aanbevelingen te geven aangaande de optimale timing van een (percutane) tracheostomie, aangezien dit afhangt van de klinische toestand en de prognose van de patiënt. Bovendien behoren vrijwel alle aanbevelingen tot “evidence level D of E”. Indien de beademing langer lijkt te gaan duren dan twee weken, dan is een tracheostomie te overwegen (Level C). De meeste contra-indicaties voor een percutane tracheostomie zijn relatief en hangen af van lokale richtlijnen en individuele ervaring (Level E). De techniek met de conische dilatator lijkt de voorkeur te hebben (Level C).

Dit protocol vervangt het oude uit 2007.

Summary

Percutaneous tracheostomy has replaced surgical tracheostomy as the preferred technique for adult patients in the intensive care unit (ICU). It is difficult to give clear guidelines on the optimal timing of (percutaneous) tracheostomy, because this depends on the clinical situation and prognosis of the patient. Moreover, most recommendations are based on level D or E evidence. When ventilation is expected to last longer than two weeks, early percutaneous tracheostomy, may be considered (Level C). Most contra-indications for percutaneous tracheostomy are relative and depend on individual experience (Level E). The single step dilatational tracheostomy seems to be preferred (Level C).

This protocol replaces the previous one from 2007.

1) Outline

This guideline describes the indications for, and the procedure of percutaneous tracheostomy (PT) in adult patients in the intensive care unit (ICU). It is based on two published reviews in Dutch journals^{1, 2} and two recent reviews^{3, 4}. The procedures in an emergency situation are briefly described in paragraph 5 e.

In the literature, the terms tracheotomy and tracheostomy are inconsistently applied and most often used interchangeably⁵. The committee prefers the term tracheostomy, reserving the term tracheotomy only for the act creating an opening in the trachea.

2) Indications

For patients in the ICU, the majority of indications for surgical and PT are identical (Table 1)⁶⁻⁸. The percutaneous technique is preferred⁹⁻¹¹, unless specific contra-indications exist (see below) (Level C).

3) Advantages of tracheostomy

Tracheostomy offers a number of practical advantages, both to the patient as to the medical and nursing staff, compared to endotracheal intubation (Table 2)^{12, 13} (Level D).

4) Contra-indications for tracheostomy

As in all critical procedures, the benefits have to be weighed against the risks. This has to be discussed with the patient or relatives prior to the procedure and an informed consent should be obtained and noted in the medical record. Most contra-indications are relative and also depend on individual expertise (Table 3)^{14, 15}. Patient selection is important, in particular when sufficient expertise is unavailable (Level E).

5) Special subgroups with relative contra-indications

a. Obesity

Several case reports and series were published describing successful accomplishment of PT in morbidly obese patients^{16, 17}. One study has presented experiences with PT in morbidly obese patients. An almost five-fold increase of major complications, like loss of airway or a massive bleeding from a previously unidentified subcutaneous vessel, was seen in the obese patients group compared to the control group¹⁸. Another study did not show a difference in the incidence of perioperative complications, provided the procedure was performed by an experienced intensivist¹⁹. Therefore, in obese patients, the risks and benefits of (percutaneous) tracheostomy should be carefully balanced, and an experienced team should perform the procedure. The routine use of an extended-length tracheostomy cannula should be considered²⁰ (Level D).

b. Poststernotomy

Surgical tracheostomies are frequently colonized and infected and therefore constitute a risk factor for mediastinitis after cardiac surgery²¹. In 1973, cricothyrotomy was advocated to prevent median sternotomy infections²². Initially, PT was advised in early poststernotomy patients who are expected to be ventilated for a prolonged period of time²³. However, the use of a tracheostomy post-sternotomy was discouraged more recently and therefore no final conclusion can be given²⁴ (Level E).

c. Trauma with suspected neck injury

PT can be safely performed without cervical spine clearance and neck extension in trauma patients who require long-term airway management^{25, 26}. However, because the procedure is more difficult, physicians with limited PT experience should not perform it (Level D). Therefore, an unstable cervical spine is a relative contra-indication for PT.

d. Coagulation abnormalities

Advantages of PT are the tight fit of the tract around the tracheostomy cannula, which compresses any small bleeding vessels. Since it involves minimum tissue dissection, it is therefore suitable for patients with a high bleeding risk. Although coagulation abnormalities are no longer an absolute contra-indication, correction of haemostasis should be carefully performed^{27, 28}, aiming for levels comparable to those suggested in neuraxial blockade, i.e. $INR < 1.8$, $APTT < 1.5 \times \text{normal}$ ^{29, 30}. The platelet count should be $40 \cdot 10^9/l$ at least. Mild coagulation disorders (PT < 20 sec, platelets between $40-100 \cdot 10^9/l$ or use of aspirin and/or clopidogrel) are no longer an absolute contraindication for the procedure³¹ (Level E). In those situations, extra care should be taken.

e. Emergency situations

A patient who has an upper airway obstruction that cannot be relieved by positive pressure mask ventilation or by endotracheal intubation (“cannot intubate, cannot ventilate”) must have an immediate surgical airway³². Although there are several case reports describing successful PT in an emergency situation³³, cricothyrotomy is the method of choice. This is beyond the scope of this protocol.

6) Timing of tracheostomy

The decision when to perform a tracheostomy is controversial³⁴, although it is known that the number of complications increases after a prolonged duration of endotracheal intubation³⁵. A frequently cited consensus conference on artificial airways in 1989 recommended endotracheal intubation as the method of choice for an artificial airway needed for up to ten days, whereas tracheostomy is preferred when the need for an artificial airway exceeds 21 days^{9, 36} (Level E). A systematic review concluded that performing a tracheostomy at an earlier stage than currently practiced may shorten the duration of artificial ventilation and length of stay in the ICU³⁷ (Level C). However, this conclusion was drawn from only five studies³⁸⁻⁴². A recent prospective randomized study confirms these results⁴³. Prospective randomized trials are difficult to organize; one promising study has been terminated prematurely because of inclusion problems due to the difficulty of clinicians to predict which patients required extended ventilator support⁴⁴.

Several studies in smaller groups have been performed to assess which patients may profit from an early tracheostomy. In patients with infratentorial lesions⁴⁵ or after neurotrauma with a GCS < 7-9 within the first week^{46, 47}, an aggressive policy towards early tracheostomy is justified (Level D). A study prospectively comparing the benefits of early to delayed tracheostomy showed that the benefits of early tracheostomy outweigh the risks of prolonged endotracheal intubation, even in terms of mortality (Level C)⁴¹. However, there were several unclarified issues⁴⁸. For example, the prediction as to whether a patient will need more than two weeks of mechanical ventilation is notoriously difficult and often lacks specific objective criteria. Moreover, the decreased incidence of pneumonia and length of stay in the early tracheostomy group could not be confirmed in a prospective trial⁴⁹. In order to see if physicians are able to differentiate between patients in need of tracheostomy and those who do not, it appeared that the closer the time to the actual intervention, the better the physicians are able to predict the decision to perform a tracheostomy⁵⁰.

Of the many variables during the first 24 hours on the ICU, shock was the only prognostic factor associated with prolonged ventilation (> three weeks)⁵¹. Despite this, 42% of patients with shock on admission were extubated earlier than three weeks. This suggests that including all patients with shock on admission for tracheostomy would be inadvisable.

In conclusion, the decision to convert an endotracheal tube to a tracheostomy cannula in the ICU has to be individualized, since firm evidence to support an aggressive approach is lacking. The potential benefits (table 2) and risks (see 9. Complications) of the procedure compared with prolonging endotracheal intubation need to be considered. Based on the available information, one could consider a tracheostomy as soon as it is apparent that weaning from artificial ventilation is unlikely to happen within two weeks after endotracheal intubation, in particular in neurological patients (Level C). Since this prediction is very difficult, tracheostomy generally should be delayed until at least 10 days after initiation⁵².

7) Technique

Like with many other procedures, there is a learning curve with the performance of PT. However, in small ICUs sufficient experience is hard to obtain. It is difficult to define a minimum number of PTs that one needs to perform in order to obtain an acceptable skill level, as this depends on the dexterity of the operator. A reasonable minimum number in the learning phase would be about ten procedures in order to be proficient to perform the procedure independently^{53, 54} (Level E). In analogy to this, airway management in PT also requires training with the same amount of cases before the procedure may be performed independently. It is advisable that a limited number of physicians (or a tracheostomy team) should be designated to do these procedures^{55, 56} (Level D). Local circumstances could thus lead to a preference for surgical tracheostomy if training in PT is lacking or caseload is below a certain amount of procedures.

All modern methods for PT rely on the Seldinger technique. Subsequently, dilation up to the degree required for the positioning of the tracheal cannula is necessary, either with a single or multiple dilator technique (table 4)¹. In The Netherlands, almost all ICUs performing PTs use the guide wire dilating forceps or the conic dilation technique⁵⁷. In some other countries, there is more experience with the translaryngeal (Fantoni's) technique^{58, 59}. The single step dilatational technique seems to have the least and the translaryngeal technique the most complications^{60, 61}.

The preparation of this bedside procedure carried out in the ICU is important and the use of a check list and a time out procedure are advisable. First of all, the patient should be checked for possible contra-indications (Table 3). Preoperative investigation with ultrasound is not deemed necessary on a routine basis, but may be indicated for patients with previous neck surgery, obesitas or if a vessel is visible or palpable in the operative field⁶²⁻⁶⁴. Patients should be stable, both circulatory and respiratory (for instance, MAP > 65 mmHg, PEEP < 10 cm H₂O with PaO₂/FiO₂-ratio > 25 kPa) (Level D), although the procedure may be safely done with higher PEEP-levels⁶⁵. Nasogastric feeding is stopped, the stomach contents are emptied and the hypopharynx suctioned just before the actual procedure to prevent aspiration of stomach contents into the airway. It is advisable to start ventilating the patients with controlled ventilation with an FiO₂ of 1.0 about 5-10 minutes before the start of the procedure. Care should be taken to compensate for the volume loss due to air leakage during the procedure. Adequate analgesia, sedation and if preferred muscle relaxation should be ensured, according to a local protocol. Local infiltration with lidocaine with epinephrine further reduces the need for analgesia and minimizes bleeding around the incision. Minimal monitoring should be according to the guidelines of the Netherlands Society of Anesthesiologists⁶⁶, including continuous oxygen saturation, rhythm, blood pressure and capnography. After the orotracheal tube has been retracted in between or just below the vocal cords, the trachea is punctured with a cannulated needle attached to a saline or air filled syringe for continuous suction (see 8 below: Airway control during PT). The goal is to aim for the interspace between the first and second or second and third tracheal rings, although one must realize that accurate placement is achieved in less than half of the cases⁶⁷.

The puncture should be guided by fiberoptic view, as this reduces significantly the number of complications compared to PT without bronchoscopy (Level D)^{55, 68}. It helps to confirm the correct position of the puncture, i.e. in the midline of the anterior trachea, and ensures that the posterior wall is not injured. Therefore, arguments that

bronchoscopy adds time, cost, and an unnecessary complexity to the procedure and may incur risks to the patient (such as difficulty in maintaining ventilation, CO₂ retention, and elevated intracranial pressures), while true, are weak in comparison to the benefits²⁰. An exception can be made for a patient with normal anatomy and an experienced team, but even then a bronchoscope should be readily available in case of unforeseen problems. At least two experienced physicians are required to perform the procedure safely: one to do the procedure and another who is responsible for airway control and for analgesia and sedation. An additional assistant may be useful to immobilize the withdrawn tube. After PT routine chest radiography is unnecessary⁶⁹ (Level D).

8) Airway control during PT

Airway control during PT has several pitfalls, such as the risk of accidental extubation, endotracheal tube cuff rupture, or transfixion of the endotracheal tube. There are several ways to secure the airway, although only the two most relevant methods are discussed here.

a. Tube withdrawal.

One method is to withdraw the endotracheal tube under direct laryngoscopic view or with a videolaryngoscope prior to puncturing the trachea, so that the cuff is placed in between or just below the vocal cords. It is also possible to withdraw the tube into the pharynx and, following cuff inflation, leaving only the tip into the laryngeal opening, so the tracheal tube cuff acts as a laryngeal inlet obturator. With this technique, airway loss is likely, so never do so when the intubation conditions are difficult.

b. Tube replacement.

It is also possible to replace the endotracheal tube by a laryngeal mask airway (LMA)⁷⁰⁻⁷². However, this method relies on a second technique of airway control, with inherent complications, most importantly aspiration of gastric contents. Intensive care patients often require high inflation pressures, have impaired gastric emptying and have oropharyngeal and perilaryngeal edema secondary to prolonged endotracheal intubation, making emergency re-intubation hazardous. The advantages of better visualization and preventing damage to the bronchoscope have to be weighed against the possible disadvantages of aspiration and loss of the airway.⁶⁸ (Level C).

9) Complications of PT

Complications may vary from minor, intermediate to major complications. Minor complications are for example minor perioperative bleeding, mild stomal infection or ugly scarring, while major complications may comprise esophageal perforation, pneumothorax with drainage or tracheal stenosis. Minor complications occur in about 20% of cases, but there is a considerable study-to-study variability in reported complication incidence (1-58%)⁷³⁻⁷⁵. Minor bleeding may result in a blood clot obstructing the airway. Therefore in the first two hours after the procedure the airway should be regularly checked for hemorrhage by suctioning. Major complications in PT occur in about 3% (0-14%) and intermediate complications in about 3% (0-26%) of cases⁷³. It is advisable to have written procedural agreements with the surgical or ENT department, since their help may be needed in case of unforeseen circumstances. However, they don't need to be physically present or stand by, since almost all

complications can be solved temporarily, awaiting expert help. Late complications (after decannulation), although rare, may vary from unaesthetic scarring to hoarseness and tracheal stenosis⁶⁰.

The procedure-related mortality, defined as mortality associated with the procedure, is less than 0.5%^{76,77}.

10) Discharge from the ICU with a tracheostomy cannula.

A patient can only be discharged safely from the intensive care unit to a nursing floor if adequate care can be provided. In general, only patients with a cuffless cannula should be transferred to the nursing floor. When an inflated cuff is present, obstruction of the cannula may lead to a potentially lethal obstruction of the airway. When adequate exposure and adequate experience are both present (as for example on a neurology department), patients may be transferred with an inflated cuff. A removable inner cannula should always be used, to facilitate cleaning and to overcome acute cannula obstruction. Cannula displacement also represents a potentially catastrophic complication, in particular in patients who are unable to protect their upper airways (for example EMV<9 or vocal cord paresis) and in particular within the first week of the procedure. Tracheal suctioning by nurses should be necessary only once or twice per shift. In the first 48 hours after transfer, close contact with the referring intensive care unit is advisable, for example with the aid of a consulting intensive care nurse. It is advisable that patients with a tracheostomy should be followed up by a multidisciplinary tracheostomy team, since this will improve care and shorten the duration of cannulation⁷⁸ (Level D). In the absence of such a team, local protocols are important to describe the appropriate aftercare of patients with a tracheostomy cannula on the ward, like who is responsible and which specific measures are necessary (i.e. availability of a tracheal spreader, humidification, physiotherapy, suctioning, stoma care etc).

A speech valve can be used safely, provided the patency of the airway and swallowing are checked prior to transfer by a speech therapist. The indication of the tracheostomy cannula should be questioned daily. Although little evidence is available to guide the optimal timing of tracheostomy cannula removal, the best arguments for this are the presence of an adequate respiratory drive, good cough and the ability to protect the airway⁷⁹. Ideally, there should be follow up of patients until the trachea has properly healed for several months after removal of the tracheostomy cannula. Unless the events are recorded as critical incidents or as part of an ongoing audit, underreporting of acute complications will occur.

Table 1: Indications for tracheostomy

1. Indications for PT:
 - a. Any patient who is expected to require mechanical ventilation for at least two weeks with for example:
 - i. Severe (critical illness) polyneuropathy (Level E).
 - ii. Post-multi organ failure, with profound muscle weakness (Level E).
 - iii. Neurological patients with a Glasgow Coma Score < 7-9 and/or an impaired swallow- and cough reflex⁴⁵⁻⁴⁷ (Level D).
 - iv. Severely compromised pulmonary function before admission to the ICU⁸⁰ (Level D).
 - v. Need for reintubation due to sputum retention. This may also be an indication for a minitracheotomy (see below) (Level E).
 - b. Severe upper airway obstruction (Level E).
2. Indication for minitracheotomy. This is limited to:
 - a. Patients where retention of sputum is the only problem⁸¹ (Level D).
3. Indications for a primary surgical tracheostomy (Level E):
 - a. Expertise for performing a PT not available.
 - b. Anatomical landmarks impossible to localize (although with careful blunt dissection landmarks may become clear)
 - c. Oral or nasal intubation impossible or contra-indicated.
 - d. Ventilator settings whereby loss of airway is unacceptable, even for a short period of time.
 - e. High risk of loss of airway and cannot re-intubate situation anticipated.

Table 2: Advantages of tracheostomy

1. Eating and drinking is possible to some degree (provided the patient is able to swallow) (Level D).
2. Speech is possible, whether by deflation of the cuff, or by change of the cannula for a fenestrated cannula after a minimum of five days, in order to let the tracheostomy wound heal sufficiently (Level D).
3. Oral hygiene is easier and respiratory secretions are easier removed. The patient is able to cough (Level C).
4. Absence of laryngeal and vocal cord injuries. The patient is able to move his or her head more freely and less sedation is needed (Level D).
5. Decrease in airway resistance, anatomical dead space and work of breathing, therefore facilitating weaning from mechanical ventilation in patients with marginal respiratory mechanics, although this benefit may be marginal ⁸² (Level E).
6. Better security of the airway, because in general a tracheostomy cannula can be changed more easily than an endotracheal tube (Level E).
7. Depending on local protocols, the patient with a tracheostomy may be transferred to the general ward, provided he/she is able to breathe independently and is able to cough adequately.

Table 3: Contra-indications of PT ⁷⁹

Absolute:

1. No informed consent for the procedure.
2. Patients in whom anatomical landmarks are impossible to localize.
3. Large goiter.
4. Age < 12 years old.

Postpone the procedure in the underlying conditions:

1. Infections at the site of the procedure.
2. Uncorrectable coagulation abnormalities.
(Mild coagulation disorders (PT <20 sec, platelets between 40-100.10⁹/l) or use of aspirin and/or clopidogrel) are no longer absolute contraindication).
3. Elevated intracranial pressure.
4. Intensive respiratory support (e.g. FiO₂>50%, PEEP>10cmH₂O).
5. Mean blood pressure below 65mmHg despite maximum hemodynamic support.

Relative:

1. Emergency situation (“Cannot intubate, cannot ventilate situation”).
2. Age between 12 and 16 years or weight < 40 kg.
3. History of neck surgery and/or irradiation or burns to the neck as the anatomy may be altered.
4. Short neck with thyromental distance of less than 3 centimeters, even after optimal exposure.
5. Unstable cervical spine.

Table 4. Currently available techniques of percutaneous tracheostomy

Technique	Characteristics	References
PDT (Percutaneous dilational tracheostomy)	Antegrade, multi-step dilation with up to 7 dilators	83, 84
GWDF (Guide wire dilating forceps)	Antegrade, two-step dilation with modified Howard-Kelly forceps	60, 85
TLT (Translaryngeal tracheostomy)	Retrograde, single-step dilation with the cannula itself	58, 59
CDT (Conic dilational tracheostomy)	Antegrade, single-step dilation with a conically shaped, hydrophilically coated dilator	86, 87
PercuTwist™	Antegrade stoma formation with a self-cutting plastic screw	88, 89
Blue Dolphin™	Inflatable balloon dilation system	90

Level aanbevelingen

- A. Ondersteund door tenminste twee grote prospectief gerandomiseerde gecontroleerde klinische onderzoeken of een meta-analyse met een kleine kans op een vals positief of een vals negatief resultaat
- B. Ondersteund door één groot prospectief gerandomiseerd gecontroleerd klinisch onderzoek met een kleine kans op een vals positief of een vals negatief resultaat
- C. Ondersteund door één of meerdere kleine prospectief gerandomiseerde gecontroleerde klinische onderzoeken of een meta-analyse met een matige tot grote kans op een vals positief of een vals negatief resultaat
- D. Ondersteund door alleen een niet-gerandomiseerd maar wel gecontroleerd klinisch onderzoek, een cohort studie of een patiëntcontrole onderzoek
- E. Ondersteund door alleen niet-vergelijkend onderzoek, historische controles, case reports of de mening van deskundigen

Reference List

1. Staatsen M, Fikkers BG, van der Hoeven JG. Percutaneous tracheostomy. *Neth J Crit Care* 2005; 9(5):253-258.
2. Dongelmans DA, van der Meer NJ, Schultz MJ. [Percutaneous dilatating tracheostomy in intensive-care patients: technique, indications and complications]. *Ned Tijdschr Geneesk* 2003; 147(48):2370-2374.
3. Scales DC. What's new with tracheostomy? *Intensive Care Med* 2013; 39(6):1005-1008.
4. Durbin CG, Jr. Tracheostomy: why, when, and how? *Respir Care* 2010; 55(8):1056-1068.
5. Pierson DJ. Tracheostomy from A to Z: historical context and current challenges. *Respir Care* 2005; 50(4):473-475.
6. Heffner JE, Miller KS, Sahn SA. Tracheostomy in the intensive care unit. Part 1: Indications, technique, management. *Chest* 1986; 90(2):269-274.
7. Goldenberg D, Golz A, Netzer A, Joachims HZ. Tracheotomy: changing indications and a review of 1,130 cases. *J Otolaryngol* 2002; 31(4):211-215.
8. Friedman Y. Percutaneous versus surgical tracheostomy: The continuing saga. *Crit Care Med* 2006; 34(8):2250-2251.
9. Groves DS, Durbin CG, Jr. Tracheostomy in the critically ill: indications, timing and techniques. *Curr Opin Crit Care* 2007; 13(1):90-97.
10. Delaney A, Bagshaw SM, Nalos M. Percutaneous dilatational tracheostomy versus surgical tracheostomy in critically ill patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Crit Care* 2006; 10(2):R55.
11. Pappas S, Maragoudakis P, Vlastarakos P et al. Surgical versus percutaneous tracheostomy: an evidence-based approach. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol* 2011; 268(3):323-330.
12. Heffner JE. Tracheotomy application and timing. *Clin Chest Med* 2003; 24(3):389-398.
13. Blot F, Melot C. Indications, timing, and techniques of tracheostomy in 152 French ICUs. *Chest* 2005; 127(4):1347-1352.
14. Ben NA, Altman E, Best LA. Extended indications for percutaneous tracheostomy. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2005; 80(4):1276-1279.
15. Blankenship DR, Kulbersh BD, Gourin CG, Blanchard AR, Terris DJ. High-risk tracheostomy: exploring the limits of the percutaneous tracheostomy. *Laryngoscope* 2005; 115(6):987-989.

16. Mansharamani NG, Koziel H, Garland R, LoCicero J, III, Critchlow J, Ernst A. Safety of bedside percutaneous dilatational tracheostomy in obese patients in the ICU. *Chest* 2000; 117(5):1426-1429.
17. Heyrosa MG, Melniczek DM, Rovito P, Nicholas GG. Percutaneous tracheostomy: a safe procedure in the morbidly obese. *J Am Coll Surg* 2006; 202(4):618-622.
18. Byhahn C, Lischke V, Meininger D, Halbig S, Westphal K. Peri-operative complications during percutaneous tracheostomy in obese patients. *Anaesthesia* 2005; 60(1):12-15.
19. Romero CM, Cornejo RA, Ruiz MH et al. Fiberoptic bronchoscopy-assisted percutaneous tracheostomy is safe in obese critically ill patients: a prospective and comparative study. *J Crit Care* 2009; 24(4):494-500.
20. Kost KM. Endoscopic percutaneous dilatational tracheotomy: a prospective evaluation of 500 consecutive cases. *Laryngoscope* 2005; 115(10 Pt 2 Suppl. 107):1-30.
21. Curtis JJ, Clark NC, McKenney CA et al. Tracheostomy: a risk factor for mediastinitis after cardiac operation. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2001; 72(3):731-734.
22. Pierce WS, Tyers GF, Waldhausen JA. Effective isolation of a tracheostomy from a median sternotomy wound. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 1973; 66(5):841-842.
23. Patel NC, Deane J, Scawn N. Reduction in tracheostomy-associated risk of mediastinitis by routine use of percutaneous tracheostomy. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2002; 73(6):2033.
24. Ngaage DL, Cale AR, Griffin S, Guvendik L, Cowen ME. Is post-sternotomy percutaneous dilatational tracheostomy a predictor for sternal wound infections? *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2008; 33(6):1076-1079.
25. Mayberry JC, Wu IC, Goldman RK, Chesnut RM. Cervical spine clearance and neck extension during percutaneous tracheostomy in trauma patients. *Crit Care Med* 2000; 28(10):3436-3440.
26. O'keeffe T, Goldman RK, Mayberry JC, Rehm CG, Hart RA. Tracheostomy after anterior cervical spine fixation. *J Trauma* 2004; 57(4):855-860.
27. Bradley PJ. Bleeding around a tracheostomy wound: what to consider and what to do? *J Laryngol Otol* 2009; 123(9):952-956.
28. Beiderlinden M, Eikermann M, Lehmann N, Adamzik M, Peters J. Risk factors associated with bleeding during and after percutaneous dilatational tracheostomy. *Anaesthesia* 2007; 62(4):342-346.

29. Veelo DP, Dongelmans DA, Phoa KN, Spronk PE, Schultz MJ. Tracheostomy: current practice on timing, correction of coagulation disorders and peri-operative management - a postal survey in the Netherlands. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 2007; 51(9):1231-1236.
30. http://www.anesthesiologie.nl/uploads/150/1087/RL_Neuraxisblokkade_en_Antistolling_2004.pdf. downloaded May 20th 2013.
31. Veelo DP, Vlaar AP, Dongelmans DA et al. Correction of subclinical coagulation disorders before percutaneous dilatational tracheotomy. *Blood Transfus* 2012; 10(2):213-220.
32. Practice guidelines for management of the difficult airway: an updated report by the American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Management of the Difficult Airway. *Anesthesiology* 2003; 98(5):1269-1277.
33. Ben-Nun A, Altman E, Best LA. Emergency percutaneous tracheostomy in trauma patients: an early experience. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2004; 77(3):1045-1047.
34. Heffner JE. Timing tracheotomy: calendar watching or individualization of care? *Chest* 1998; 114(2):361-363.
35. Marsh HM, Gillespie DJ, Baumgartner AE. Timing of tracheostomy in the critically ill patient. *Chest* 1989; 96(1):190-193.
36. Plummer AL, Gracey DR. Consensus conference on artificial airways in patients receiving mechanical ventilation. *Chest* 1989; 96(1):178-180.
37. Griffiths J, Barber VS, Morgan L, Young JD. Systematic review and meta-analysis of studies of the timing of tracheostomy in adult patients undergoing artificial ventilation. *BMJ* 2005; 330(7502):1243.
38. Bouderkha MA, Fakhir B, Bouaggad A, Hmamouchi B, Hamoudi D, Harti A. Early tracheostomy versus prolonged endotracheal intubation in severe head injury. *J Trauma* 2004; 57(2):251-254.
39. Dunham CM, LaMonica C. Prolonged tracheal intubation in the trauma patient. *J Trauma* 1984; 24(2):120-124.
40. Rodriguez JL, Steinberg SM, Luchetti FA, Gibbons KJ, Taheri PA, Flint LM. Early tracheostomy for primary airway management in the surgical critical care setting. *Surgery* 1990; 108(4):655-659.
41. Rumbak MJ, Newton M, Truncala T, Schwartz SW, Adams JW, Hazard PB. A prospective, randomized, study comparing early percutaneous dilational tracheotomy to prolonged translaryngeal intubation (delayed tracheotomy) in critically ill medical patients. *Crit Care Med* 2004; 32(8):1689-1694.
42. Saffle JR, Morris SE, Edelman L. Early tracheostomy does not improve outcome in burn patients. *J Burn Care Rehabil* 2002; 23(6):431-438.

43. Koch T, Hecker B, Hecker A et al. Early tracheostomy decreases ventilation time but has no impact on mortality of intensive care patients: a randomized study. *Langenbecks Arch Surg* 2012; 397(6):1001-1008.
44. Young D, Harrison DA, Cuthbertson BH, Rowan K. Effect of early vs late tracheostomy placement on survival in patients receiving mechanical ventilation: the TracMan randomized trial. *JAMA* 2013; 309(20):2121-2129.
45. Qureshi AI, Suarez JI, Parekh PD, Bhardwaj A. Prediction and timing of tracheostomy in patients with infratentorial lesions requiring mechanical ventilatory support. *Crit Care Med* 2000; 28(5):1383-1387.
46. Gurkin SA, Parikshak M, Kralovich KA, Horst HM, Agarwal V, Payne N. Indicators for tracheostomy in patients with traumatic brain injury. *Am Surg* 2002; 68(4):324-328.
47. Major KM, Hui T, Wilson MT, Gaon MD, Shabot MM, Margulies DR. Objective indications for early tracheostomy after blunt head trauma. *Am J Surg* 2003; 186(6):615-619.
48. Dongelmans DA, Schultz MJ. Early or late tracheostomy. *Crit Care Med* 2005; 33(2):466.
49. Terragni PP, Antonelli M, Fumagalli R et al. Early vs late tracheotomy for prevention of pneumonia in mechanically ventilated adult ICU patients: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2010; 303(15):1483-1489.
50. Veelo DP, Binnekade JM, Buddeke AW, Dongelmans DA, Schultz MJ. Early predictability of the need for tracheotomy after admission to ICU: an observational study. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 2010; 54(9):1083-1088.
51. Estenssoro E, Gonzalez F, Laffaire E et al. Shock on admission day is the best predictor of prolonged mechanical ventilation in the ICU. *Chest* 2005; 127(2):598-603.
52. Angus DC. When should a mechanically ventilated patient undergo tracheostomy? *JAMA* 2013; 309(20):2163-2164.
53. Massick DD, Powell DM, Price PD et al. Quantification of the learning curve for percutaneous dilatational tracheotomy. *Laryngoscope* 2000; 110(2 Pt 1):222-228.
54. Liao L, Myers J, Johnston J et al. Percutaneous tracheostomy: one center's experience with a new modality. *Am J Surg* 2005; 190(6):923-926.
55. Mirski MA, Pandian V, Bhatti N et al. Safety, efficiency, and cost-effectiveness of a multidisciplinary percutaneous tracheostomy program. *Crit Care Med* 2012; 40(6):1827-1834.

56. Pandian V, Miller CR, Mirski MA et al. Multidisciplinary team approach in the management of tracheostomy patients. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2012; 147(4):684-691.
57. Fikkers BG, Fransen GA, van der Hoeven JG, Briede IS, van den Hoogen FJ. Tracheostomy for long-term ventilated patients: a postal survey of ICU practice in The Netherlands. *Intensive Care Med* 2003; 29(8):1390-1393.
58. Fantoni A, Ripamonti D. A non-derivative, non-surgical tracheostomy: the translaryngeal method. *Intensive Care Med* 1997; 23(4):386-392.
59. Sharpe MD, Parnes LS, Drover JW, Harris C. Translaryngeal tracheostomy: experience of 340 cases. *Laryngoscope* 2003; 113(3):530-536.
60. Fikkers BG, Staatsen M, van den Hoogen FJ, van der Hoeven JG. Early and late outcome after single step dilatational tracheostomy versus the guide wire dilating forceps technique: a prospective randomized clinical trial. *Intensive Care Med* 2011; 37(7):1103-1109.
61. Cabrini L, Monti G, Landoni G et al. Percutaneous tracheostomy, a systematic review. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 2012; 56(3):270-281.
62. Sustic A. Role of ultrasound in the airway management of critically ill patients. *Crit Care Med* 2007; 35(5 Suppl):S173-S177.
63. Rajajee V, Fletcher JJ, Rochlen LR, Jacobs TL. Real-time ultrasound-guided percutaneous dilatational tracheostomy: a feasibility study. *Crit Care* 2011; 15(1):R67.
64. Tremblay LN, Scales DC. Ultrasound-guided tracheostomy - not for the many, but perhaps the few... or the one. *Crit Care* 2011; 15(2):147.
65. Beiderlinden M, Groeben H, Peters J. Safety of percutaneous dilational tracheostomy in patients ventilated with high positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP). *Intensive Care Med* 2003; 29:944-948.
66. <http://www.anesthesiologie.nl/verenigingsstandpunten?wid=169&func=viewSubmission&sid=245&pageId=9>. downloaded May 20th 2013.
67. Hotchkiss KS, McCaffrey JC. Laryngotracheal injury after percutaneous dilational tracheostomy in cadaver specimens. *Laryngoscope* 2003; 113(1):16-20.
68. Kunz T, Strametz R, Grundling M, Byhahn C. [Percutaneous tracheostomy in intensive care medicine - Update 2012]. *Anesthesiol Intensivmed Notfallmed Schmerzther* 2012; 47(10):598-604.
69. Hoehne F, Ozaeta M, Chung R. Routine chest X-ray after percutaneous tracheostomy is unnecessary. *Am Surg* 2005; 71(1):51-53.

70. Dexter TJ. The laryngeal mask airway: a method to improve visualisation of the trachea and larynx during fiberoptic assisted percutaneous tracheostomy. *Anaesth Int Care* 1994; 22(1):35-39.
71. Carron M, Freo U, Michielan F, Ori C. Effects of tracheal intubation on ventilation with LMA classic for percutaneous dilation tracheostomy. *Minerva Anesthesiol* 2010; 76(3):181-187.
72. Linstedt U, Zenz M, Krull K, Hager D, Prengel AW. Laryngeal mask airway or endotracheal tube for percutaneous dilatational tracheostomy: a comparison of visibility of intratracheal structures. *Anesth Analg* 2010; 110(4):1076-1082.
73. Fikkers BG. Percutaneous Tracheostomy on the Intensive Care unit. Thesis 2004.
74. Higgins KM, Punthakee X. Meta-analysis comparison of open versus percutaneous tracheostomy. *Laryngoscope* 2007; 117(3):447-454.
75. Diaz-Reganon G, Minambres E, Ruiz A, Gonzalez-Herrera S, Holanda-Pena M, Lopez-Espadas F. Safety and complications of percutaneous tracheostomy in a cohort of 800 mixed ICU patients. *Anaesthesia* 2008; 63(11):1198-1203.
76. Hoiting O, van der Brule JMD, van Zwam PH, Hulsbergen-van der Kaa CA, Fikkers BG. Late fatal bleeding after percutaneous tracheostomy. *Netherlands Journal of Critical Care* 14, 335-337. 2011.
Ref Type: Generic
77. McCormick B, Manara AR. Mortality from percutaneous dilatational tracheostomy. A report of three cases. *Anaesthesia* 2005; 60(5):490-495.
78. Yu M. Tracheostomy patients on the ward: multiple benefits from a multidisciplinary team? *Crit Care* 2010; 14(1):109.
79. Bittner EA, Schmidt UH. The ventilator liberation process: update on technique, timing, and termination of tracheostomy. *Respir Care* 2012; 57(10):1626-1634.
80. Boynton JH, Hawkins K, Eastridge BJ, O'Keefe GE. Tracheostomy timing and the duration of weaning in patients with acute respiratory failure. *Crit Care* 2004; 8(4):R261-R267.
81. Bonde P, Papachristos I, McCraith A et al. Sputum retention after lung operation: prospective, randomized trial shows superiority of prophylactic minitracheostomy in high-risk patients. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2002; 74(1):196-202.
82. Diehl JL, El Atrous S, Touchard D, Lemaire F, Brochard L. Changes in the work of breathing induced by tracheotomy in ventilator-dependent patients. *Am J Resp Crit Care Med* 1999; 159(2):383-388.

83. Ciaglia P, Firsching R, Syniec C. Elective percutaneous dilatational tracheostomy. A new simple bedside procedure; preliminary report. *Chest* 1985; 87(6):715-719.
84. Dempsey GA, Grant CA, Jones TM. Percutaneous tracheostomy: a 6 yr prospective evaluation of the single tapered dilator technique. *Br J Anaesth* 2010; 105(6):782-788.
85. Griggs WM, Worthley LI, Gilligan JE, Thomas PD, Myburg JA. A simple percutaneous tracheostomy technique. *Surg Gynecol Obstet* 1990; 170(6):543-545.
86. Byhahn C, Lischke V, Halbig S, Scheifler G, Westphal K. [Ciaglia Blue Rhino: a modified technique for percutaneous dilatation tracheostomy. Technique and early clinical results]. *Anaesthesist* 2000; 49(3):202-206.
87. Fikkers BG, Briede IS, Verwiel JM, van den Hoogen FJ. Percutaneous tracheostomy with the Blue Rhino technique: presentation of 100 consecutive patients. *Anaesthesia* 2002; 57(11):1094-1097.
88. Frova G, Quintel M. A new simple method for percutaneous tracheostomy: controlled rotating dilation. A preliminary report. *Intensive Care Med* 2002; 28(3):299-303.
89. Montcriol A, Bordes J, Asencio Y, Prunet B, Lacroix G, Meaudre E. Bedside percutaneous tracheostomy: a prospective randomised comparison of PercuTwist versus Griggs' forceps dilatational tracheostomy. *Anaesth Intensive Care* 2011; 39(2):209-216.
90. Cianchi G, Zagli G, Bonizzoli M et al. Comparison between single-step and balloon dilatational tracheostomy in intensive care unit: a single-centre, randomized controlled study. *Br J Anaesth* 2010; 104(6):728-732.